



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DW 889-06

Paper No. 11

DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P. O. BOX 5257
NEW YORK NY 10150-5257

COPY MAILED

SEP 05 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Murao et al. :
Application No. 09/813,372 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: 12 March, 2001 :
Atty Dcket No. 2796/100G216-US1 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 30 June, 2006 (certificate of mailing date 18 March, 2002), which is treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

The application became abandoned on 5 December, 2001, for failure to timely file a proper reply to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application mailed on 4 May, 2001, which set a two (2) month shortened period for reply. A five (5) month extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 18 January, 2002.

This application is being referred to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions